

CITY OF TEA TREE GULLY
Summary of Code of Conduct Complaints for Elected Members Register
for Council Term 2018 - 2022

Date complaint lodged	Complainant	Type Public/ Employee/ EM	Elected Member:	Nature of Complaint	Outcome	Costs
26/08/2021	Mayor Kevin Knight	Elected Member	Elected Member	Comments made at the Council Meeting held on 29 June 2021	Matter deemed trivial, vexatious or frivolous.	\$2,002.80
25/03/2021	Member of the Public	Elected Member	Cr Lucas Jones	Comments made during a radio interview on ABC radio 891 breakfast show on 24 March 2021	Final Report to Council on 28 September 2021 Received and noted the external investigator reports	\$14,782.08
11/11/2020	Member of the Public	Elected Member	Elected Member	Conduct from October 2018	The time that has passed and the limited scope for investigation.	\$8,156.25
10/10/2019	Anonymous - Public Interest Disclosure	Employee	Mayor Kevin Knight	Witness alleged Mayor Knight displayed inappropriate behaviour by smacking victim on bottom on 2 October 2019 with a document	Final Report to Council on 24 March 2020. Breach of clauses 2.2, 2.3, and 2.11 of the Code of Conduct for Council Members and actions to be undertaken as per resolution 388 and dated 24 March 2020.	\$19,690.20
10/04/2019	Member of the Public	Elected Member	Mayor Kevin Knight	Conduct at Council meeting on 25 September 2018 - Alleged breaches against Code of Conduct - 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.8, 2.15, 2.16	Report to Council on 13 August 2019. May have breached on the basis that a breach could not be determined either way given some of the evidence in the investigation report was neither conclusive nor compelling. No further action required.	\$10,900.00
31/03/2019	Member of the Public	Elected Member	Mayor Kevin Knight	Conduct at Council meeting on 9 October 2018 - Alleged breaches against Code of Conduct - 2.16, 3.1, 3.2, 3.4, 3.6 and Breach of section 62(1)-(4) of the LG Act 1999	Report to Council on 13 August 2019. May have breached on the basis that a breach could not be determined either way given some of the evidence in the investigation report was neither conclusive nor compelling. No further action required.	